Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/936,629	MAXWELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renata McCloud	2837
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) Renata McCloud.	(3)	•
(2) <u>Todd Bynum</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 5 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
N/A		
Claims discussed:		
28,31,32		1 /
Prior art documents discussed:		
N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner indicated a few antecedent basis issues in amendment	the claims. Att. Bynum agreed to c	orrect the claims via examiner
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the second control of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
:		
<u> </u>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)